

THE OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE GERING CITY COUNCIL, JUNE 23, 2025.

A regular meeting of the City Council of Gering, Nebraska was held in open session on June 23, 2025 at 6:00 p.m. at Gering City Hall, 1025 P Street, Gering, NE. Present were Mayor Ewing and Councilmembers Gillen, Shields, Frye, Kinsey, Wiedeman, O'Neal, Morrison, Jackson. Also present were City Administrator Pat Heath, City Clerk Kathy Welfl, and City Attorney Matt Turman. Notice of the meeting was given in advance by publication in the Star-Herald, the designated method of giving notice. All proceedings hereafter were taken while the meeting was open to the attendance of the public except as otherwise indicated.

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Ewing called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and stated that a quorum of the Council was present and City business could be conducted.

1. Recital of the Pledge of Allegiance and Prayer
2. Oath of office for newly appointed Ward III Council Member, Tamera Frye

Mayor Ewing directed the City Clerk to administer the Oath of Office for Councilmember Frye who was appointed by the Council on June 9, 2025.

3. Roll Call
4. Excuse councilmember absence

Motion by Councilmember O'Neal to excuse the absence of Councilmember Gillen from the June 9, 2025 regular City Council meeting. Second by Councilmember Kinsey. There was no discussion. Mayor Ewing called for the vote. "AYES": Shields, Gillen, Frye, Kinsey, Wiedeman, O'Neal, Morrison, Jackson. "NAYS": None. Abstaining: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

OPEN MEETINGS ACT - NEB.REV.STAT. CHAPTER 84, ARTICLE 14

Mayor Ewing stated: As required by State Law, public bodies shall make available at least one current copy of the Open Meetings Act posted in the meeting room. Agenda items may be moved up or down on the agenda at the discretion of the Mayor. As required by State Law, additions may not be made to this agenda less than 24 hours before the beginning of the meeting unless they are considered under this section of the agenda and the Council determines that the matter requires emergency action.

CONSENT AGENDA:

(Items under the Consent Agenda are proposed for adoption by one action for all items unless any member of Council requests that an item be considered separately.)

1. Approve minutes of the June 9, 2025 regular City Council Meeting
2. Approve Claims

Claims 6/10/25 – 6/23/25

21ST CENTURY EQUIPMENT \$137.68, 308 PLUMBING SOLUTIONS \$1,145.00, 911 CUSTOM \$227.01, ACE HARDWARE \$2,043.86, ACUSHNET COMPANY \$3,538.29, ADIDAS AMERICA, INC \$564.00, AMAZON CAPITAL SERVICES \$3,203.12, AMERITAS LIFE INSURANCE CORP. \$592.48, ARIZONA MACHINERY LLC \$2,104.50, ARNOLD POOL COMPANY \$79.00, AT&T MOBILITY \$1,678.57, B & C STEEL \$34.59, B & H INVESTMENTS, INC \$106.50, BENZEL PEST CONTROL \$165.00, BIGFOOT TURF \$18,252.00, BLUFFS FACILITY SOLUTIONS \$1,223.42, BORDER STATES INDUSTRIES, INC \$548.21, BOWL ARENA \$137.00, CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY \$208.20, CAMPSPO \$904.55, CARLIEGH PSZANKA \$100.00, CITY OF GERING \$22,117.02, CLARK PRINTING LLC \$109.77, COLUMN SOFTWARE, PBC \$338.70, CONTRACTORS MATERIALS, INC. \$868.75, CORE & MAIN LP \$136.94, CREIGHTON BEALS \$100.00, DEINES IRRIGATION \$3,950.26, DIAMOND VOGEL PAINT CENTER \$394.74, DIRT STIX NURSERY \$3,701.63, DOOLEY OIL \$407.62, DUTTON-LAINSON COMPANY \$107.50, EAKES INC \$171.56, ECOLAB \$491.96, ELLISON, KOVARIK & TURMAN LAW \$10,000.00, ENERGY LABORATORIES INC. \$625.00, ERA \$213.74, FASTENAL COMPANY \$214.45, FAT BOYS TIRE & AUTO \$99.16, FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OMAHA \$10,261.93, FIRST NATIONAL BANK OMAHA - POLICE \$584.00, FLOYD'S TRUCK CENTER, INC. \$1,995.59, FRANK PARTS COMPANY \$1,488.04, FRESH FOODS INC. \$39.37, GALLS, AN ARAMARK COMPANY \$60.98, GENERAL TRAFFIC CONTROLS, INC. \$1,915.00, GOLF & SPORT SOLUTIONS \$14,192.75, GRAINGER \$97.93, GREATAMERICA FINANCIAL SERVICE \$100.00, GROUND UP CONSTRUCTION & CLEAN \$6,557.50, HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS \$84.99, HAWKINS, INC. \$7,439.96, HOMETOWN LEASING \$157.23, HULLINGER GLASS & LOCKS, INC. \$3.25, IDEAL LAUNDRY AND CLEANERS, INC. \$1,782.80, INDOFF INCORPORATED \$151.37, INGRAM LIBRARY SERVICES \$40.91, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE \$60,401.47, INTERSTATE BATTERY \$154.95, INTRALINKS, INC. \$2,915.00, IRBY TOOL & SAFETY \$2,606.07, JEO CONSULTING GROUP \$3,000.00, JIRDON AGRI CHEMICALS, INC \$1,604.00, JOHN HANCOCK USA \$17,213.20, JOHN HANCOCK USA FIRE \$873.56, JOHN HANCOCK USA POLICE \$10,098.78, LAWSON PRODUCTS \$1,079.31, LEE ENTERPRISES \$654.00, LEGACY COOPERATIVE \$17,244.84, LOGOZ LLC \$431.00, LOST RIVER SAND & GRAVEL, INC \$1,956.30, MASEK DISTRIBUTING INC \$2,675.00, MATTY B'S HVAC \$998.62, MENARDS \$993.21, MICHAEL DAVENPORT \$175.00, MIDWEST CONNECT \$4,056.01, MIDWEST THEATER \$5,000.00, MONUMENT MILE \$500.00, NEBRASKA CHILD SUPPORT PAYMENT

CENTE \$714.93, NEBRASKA DEPT OF REVENUE \$1,103.27, NEBRASKA DEPT. OF REVENUE \$59,353.34, NEBRASKA LAW ENFORCEMENT \$525.00, NEBRASKA PUBLIC HEALTH ENVIRO LAB \$105.00, NEBRASKA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT \$3,170.00, NEBRASKA STATE FIRE MARSHAL \$81.00, NEBRASKA STATEWIDE ARBORETUM \$264.00, NEBRASKA TRAVEL ASSOCIATION \$800.00, NKC TIRE \$232.19, NMC INCORPORATED \$161.57, NORTHWEST PIPE FITTINGS, INC \$609.72, ONE CALL CONCEPTS, INC \$116.48, OREGON TRAIL COMMUNITY FOUNDATION/OLD WEST BALLOON FEST \$2,500.00, O'REILLY AUTOMOTIVE STORE \$66.08, PANHANDLE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE, INC. \$1,354.00, PANHANDLE HUMANE SOCIETY \$3,168.50, PATTLEN ENTERPRISES, INC \$3,408.89, PETE'S QUICK LUBE \$74.79, PGA OF AMERICA \$664.00, PIPE WORKS PLUMBING LLC \$150.00, POWERPLAN OIB \$177.87, PROTEX CENTRAL, INC. \$140.00, PSI DIGITAL IMAGING SOLUTIONS \$865.05, PT HOSE AND BEARING \$275.41, PVB VISA \$14,449.12, REGIONAL CARE INC. \$122,990.02, RESCO \$30,106.45, RIVERSIDE DISCOVERY CENTER \$4,166.67, RIVERSTONE BANK \$574.60, SANDBERG IMPLEMENT, INC. \$747.33, SARAH WIESE \$206.00, SCB COUNTY REGISTER OF DEEDS \$20.00, SCB. COUNTY AMBULANCE SERVICE \$316.23, SCOTTSBLUFF-GERING UNITED WAY \$273.02, SCS ENGINEERS \$622.69, SEILER INSTRUMENT & MFG \$1,500.00, SENIOR CITIZENS CENTER \$1,000.00, SIMMONS OLSEN LAW FIRM, P.C. \$1,560.00, SIMON CONTRACTORS \$14,366.63, SOUTHWESTERN EQUIPMENT COMPANY \$2,852.84, STATE FIRE MARSHAL TRAINING DI \$100.00, STEVE BAIRD \$3,875.00, SUNBELT SOLOMON \$3,000.00, SYSCO DENVER, INC. \$4,824.18, TERESA TOSH \$43,218.58, TERRY CARPENTER, INC. \$650.00, THE TORO COMPANY \$315.00, TOUR EDGE MFG INC \$342.00, TRANSWEST \$476.78, UNANIMOUS, INC. \$1,060.00, VALLEY AUTO LOCATORS LLC \$20.00, VERIZON CONNECT \$25.90, W J R INC. \$3,820.79, WARRIOR RUN \$630.06, WESCO RECEIVABLES CORP. \$7,802.22, WESTERN COOPERATIVE COMPANY \$8,753.72, WESTERN NEBRASKA FURY \$7,624.80, WESTERN NEBRASKA YOUTH SUMMER CAMP \$1,762.57, WESTERN PATHOLOGY CONSULTANTS \$142.00, YMCA OF SCOTTSBLUFF \$200.00, Total Claims \$627,101.99

Motion by Councilmember Gillen to approve the Consent Agenda. Second by Councilmember Wiedeman. There was no discussion. Mayor Ewing called for the vote. "AYES": Shields, Gillen, Frye, Kinsey, Wiedeman, O'Neal, Morrison, Jackson. "NAYS": None. Abstaining: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

BIDS/PROPOSALS:

1. Award bid for Five Rocks Amphitheater 2025 Renovations: Phase I ADA Ramp and Walkways Access

City Engineer, Annie Folck, stated this is a project the City will be phasing in over the next few years – ADA improvements to Five Rocks Amphitheater. The first phase is to connect the ADA parking to the area above where the pavilion is. There is some existing ADA seating on that top level. In order to be compliant, the City needs to add another ADA seating area so there is more than one option for where people in a wheel chair could potentially sit. This bid would add those walkways and the additional seating area. The next phase, next year, staff are hoping to make improvements to where the food trucks set up to make that fully compliant, because right now it's a very difficult facility for anyone in a wheelchair to navigate. She added that this was publicly bid; staff sent out the invitation to bid to multiple contractors. The City only received one bid. It was higher than the engineer's estimate but it is a contractor that the City has worked with in the past and they have done really good work for the City. Staff are recommending approval of the bid; it is still well within what was budgeted for this project. She added that staff are hoping to get the improvements done this fall.

Motion by Councilmember Gillen to award the bid for the Five Rocks Amphitheater 2025 Renovations - Phase I ADA Ramp and Walkway Access to Mark Chrisman Trucking, Inc. in the amount of \$126,899.50. Second by Councilmember Wiedeman. There was no discussion. Mayor Ewing called for the vote. "AYES": Shields, Gillen, Frye, Kinsey, Wiedeman, O'Neal, Morrison, Jackson. "NAYS": None. Abstaining: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

CURRENT BUSINESS:

1. Approve and authorize the Mayor to sign Road Maintenance Agreement by and between the City of Gering and the City of Terrytown

Motion by Councilmember Kinsey to approve and authorize the Mayor to sign a Road Maintenance Agreement by and between the City of Gering and the City of Terrytown. Second by Councilmember Morrison. There was no discussion. Mayor Ewing called for the vote. "AYES": Shields, Gillen, Frye, Kinsey, Wiedeman, O'Neal, Morrison, Jackson. "NAYS": None. Abstaining: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

2. Approve appointment of Councilmember Frye to the Personnel and Public Safety Standing Committees and an alternate to the Administrative and Recreation Standing Committees

Motion by Councilmember Gillen to approve the appointment of Councilmember Frye to the Personnel and Public Safety Standing Committees and an alternate to the Administrative and Recreation Standing Committees. Second by Councilmember Wiedeman. There was no discussion. Mayor Ewing called for the vote. "AYES": Shields, Gillen, Frye, Kinsey, Wiedeman, O'Neal, Morrison, Jackson. "NAYS": None. Abstaining: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

3. Approve appointment of Councilmember Frye as a Liaison to the Gering Merchants Association

Motion by Councilmember Morrison to approve the appointment of Councilmember Frye as a Liaison to the Gering Merchants Association. Second by Councilmember Gillen. There was no discussion. Mayor Ewing called for the vote. "AYES": Shields, Gillen, Frye, Kinsey, Wiedeman, O'Neal, Morrison, Jackson. "NAYS": None. Abstaining: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

4. Preliminary discussion regarding City of Gering Comprehensive Plan Update

Engineer Folck explained that there was a (proposed) zone change that came forward a few months ago at the intersection of Country Club Road and Five Rocks Road. It brought to the City's attention that the Comprehensive Plan, which was adopted in 2019, wasn't completely clear on what that intersection should be. She thinks the Comp Plan has served the City well and doesn't think it's time for a whole new plan, but there definitely needs to be some clarifications made to the plan, particularly in regards to that intersection. The City Attorney has found a couple other spots that he feels could be clarified as well.

This is a preliminary discussion at this meeting; the purpose is two-fold. The first is to put the community on notice that this is something the City is looking at because a change like this needs a lot of public input. Staff and the City wants to make sure people are aware that this is something the City is working on. There will be public hearings at the Planning Commission level and the Council level before anything can be adopted. Staff want to make the community aware that this is going on so they have a chance to weigh in if they want to attend either of those public hearings. The second purpose for this agenda item is to have discussion. Staff is not asking Council to make any decisions tonight; it wouldn't be appropriate without public input. In a more general sense, staff would like a little more input on considerations that staff should be thinking about as those changes are drafted. Going back to all the public input received prior to adopting the Comp Plan, at that time there was a lot of feedback from the community saying that Gering is not business-friendly enough, that Gering needs to be more open to business and needs to work harder to make Gering attractive for businesses to come (to Gering) and not make it so hard when people want to do something. It was based on that public input that the Comprehensive Plan, as it currently exists, has a lot of flexibility built into it. As staff, she is leaning towards trying to maintain that flexibility, but would be interested to hear what Council thinks. Does Council think it needs to stay flexible, or make a hard and fast decision that it should be one zone or the other but that there should be options for different zones? Does staff need to come up with a couple different options to present at the public hearing and let Planning Commission and Council state their preferences for a version of the plan? Before diving into this and actually drafting language, it would be helpful to get a feel for where the Council stands on some of this as well.

Councilmember Morrison stated that Annie has talked about nodes; she asked her to explain that. Annie stated when someone applies for a zone change, by state statute it should not be approved unless it is in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan. The problem with that is in communities like Gering, that doesn't have a lot of development pressure, a lot of times it's hard to say that this area has to be medium density residential because it may make sense that it could be medium density residential, but it could also be appropriate to be multifamily, or it might be okay as Neighborhood Commercial. The goal of the commercial nodes was to give the City some options and not have it hard and fast when someone comes forward with a proposal and says "We would like this to be neighborhood commercial and the community thinks it would be great as neighborhood commercial and staff thinks it makes sense there, but we have a plan that says it can only be residential; sorry we can't approve this." The goal of the nodes was to try to provide options that as people come forward (not being able to look into a crystal ball and not knowing what people would propose for a certain property), that the City would have some flexibility within reason. There's still a lot of guidance in the narrative as to when it would be appropriate and when it would not be appropriate, but the goal is to give options so that it's easier to say yes to proposals that make sense and the City

isn't locked in to someone's vision of what something should be. She added that the problem the City ran into on this one is that it mentioned that intersection by name in the narrative saying that it could be appropriate for high density housing or commercial, but there wasn't an actual dot on the map itself showing it as a commercial node. That's one of the things that needs to get cleaned up. The City either needs to change the language to say that it should only be residential, or the City probably needs to put a dot on the map saying it could be a commercial node.

Councilmember Jackson stated that in the Comprehensive Plan it states that this was a five-year plan. He asked why it wouldn't be appropriate to redo the plan since the feelings of the public have changed over the last five years. Engineer Folck replied she wouldn't say it was a five-year plan, necessarily. She added that parts of it are looking out five years; typically Comp Plans are 10 to 20 years. City Attorney Turman noted that they can be reviewed, though. Councilmember Jackson pointed out from the Comp Plan that "It is recommended this plan be reviewed every five years or less to keep the vision relevant and fresh." Engineer Folck replied, absolutely. That's basically what staff and Council are doing, is reviewing this. It doesn't necessarily mean a whole new plan is needed. The City should be going through and making sure that the goals that have been accomplished are still relevant. If they've been accomplished, they don't need to be listed as future goals. Are there other things the City should be adding to it, are there changes or clarifications that need to be made? She added that this review is probably a little overdue. Even the annexation map needs to be updated; much of that has been taken care of.

Councilmember Morrison asked, if the City goes back through and does the nodes, does anything on the uses change? Engineer Folck replied that the uses are all part of the zoning code. Changes can be made to the Zoning Code; she's not opposed to that - that was redone in 2020. It's a living document; it should be changing and flexible and the City should be able to update that anytime there's a need, to fit the needs of the community. That would be a separate process and a separate document would have to be adopted by a separate ordinance from what's being done with the Comprehensive Plan.

Administrator Heath noted that if the City started seeing growth along the Expressway for example, that's when the City would go back and look at the plan, too. That could change what was put in the plan. He agrees that every five years is a good time to review that plan. If the casino were to be approved, the City would have to look at the plan again and make some changes, too.

Mayor Ewing stated that the Comp Plan should be fluid; everybody has things that change. He explained that in last couple years, prior to him, the City has made it difficult in wording and documents for a lot of businesses to come to town, even back in the early 90s. The City has changed a lot of that and moved forward. He thinks the City needs to continue to move forward with the projects the City gets, that do come to town, and the City may have to change and be more flexible. There's the multiple housing unit coming in the Pappas area, Amazon is coming in, the Family Dollar left and that building wasn't empty for more than about a month before O'Reilly moved in. People are looking at the City of Gering as a whole. There is a possibility of another RV Park with the Aulicks on the south end of town. There's a possibility of the horse track/casino coming in. "At that point, we open up options of a couple more motels, possibly fast food restaurants, maybe another truck stop out there on the highway. We're going to have to work together and be very aggressive to provide what they need to where we don't tell them no or maybe - it's 'what can we do to help you?'" His whole goal (where he's sitting) is to help expand the City of Gering and makes things better for everyone. It may affect a small portion here and here, but the City is looking at the overall city-wide benefit. That's his suggestion.

Councilmember Gillen asked if the City has the ability to create another zone that's associated with the commercial nodes, knowing that the commercial nodes that have been identified are in existing developed areas where the City can narrow the scope of what the City envisions going in there - make it a little more restrictive so that there isn't as much concern about if the zone is changed, all of a sudden 55 things can go in there. He gave an example that Council was told a certain type of business was going in the lot across from the Post Office and it never came to fruition and then it was trying to be sold again. He added "Just because we say we want to change and make something available for a certain type of business to go in, doesn't mean that that business will necessarily come to fruition." He wants to see if there is a possibility that that scope can be narrowed so there isn't as much question

about if it's approved, all of a sudden these 55 businesses could go in. Engineer Folck replied that that can be done, but rather than creating another zone, she would encourage Council to look at the list for C-1. If changes need to be made to that, that can be done. The City does not currently have anything zoned as C-1 in the community at all. That was actually created when the zoning code was redone and was created for the specific purpose of being compatible with residential. If it's a matter of narrowing that down and making it so it's something people feel more comfortable with to be next to residential, she would rather start there rather than creating another zone that something may or may not be zoned as. When the zoning code was redone, there were roughly 18 zones and there were a lot of them that nothing was zoned as. In the interest of simplifying and stream-lining things, the City got rid of a few. She added that Council is discussing this at this meeting, but if Council wants to contact her or send her their notes or thoughts, they would be taken into account. A copy of the land use table was provided to each Council Member; it can be marked up with ones that Council has concern about, those are things that can be discussed.

Councilmember Gillen added that it could go from permitted to conditional if they so choose – not automatically approved. Conditional would generate a public hearing; the one caveat that needs to be called out though is that (CUPs) would only go to the Planning Commission. They could approve something that Council couldn't theoretically address. That may be something to consider as well; is there a way of making conditional uses, within a certain zone, require Council approval? Engineer Folck replied that Council certainly can, Council already has the ability to approve conditional uses for meat packing plants. That is the one exception (when that was redone) to make sure Council had the final say because of the intent of the use. She assumes it can be written however Council wants. Her preference would be to not over-complicate too many things; one of the reasons the City went to Planning Commission approval-only for CUPs was again to try to be business-friendly and make it so there's not quite so many layers and such a long process for people to get things through. But again, that's up to Council as to how they want to see that process go.

Councilmember Gillen noted that he thinks the challenge for Council, especially with this one, is that Council are the ones that will be held foot-to-the-flames accountable where the Planning Commission is appointed by Council but they're not in an elected position. It gives the residents a little bit more of an ability to reach out to their elected official and address their concerns to somebody who's specifically elected to represent them on the Council.

Councilmember Gillen added that he agrees with the Mayor; the challenge that he has had recently on the Council is that he hears a lot of "not in my backyard... I want it, but I want it somewhere else." The City ran into that with the housing development, where there was a lot of resistance to getting housing development done in the community because it was going to increase traffic too much in that particular area of town – "Can't they build houses somewhere else?" With a business coming in he hears "I really don't want that type of business, I want this type of business." He added that he really wants to see growth in the Gering community, and for businesses to come in, and he wants "our people" to feel like they're not being duped. Anybody who buys property and has an open field or an open plot of land around them, should not ever assume that there will never be development and that they'll always have wide open space across from them unless they own it, because somebody could buy it and want to do some kind of development. While he appreciates everyone's desire to not have change in their backyard, change is what keeps a community relevant and functional. It brings businesses and housing to the community. The City needs to be business-friendly and write ordinances and policies that encourage businesses to come and not make it prohibitive for them to come into the community. It's tough when there's development in those areas, he just wants to make sure the City doesn't create additional problems with traffic flows and those things by approving certain businesses to go into areas that may not be as conducive to the traffic flow as well. It's a balancing act.

Councilmember Wiedeman asked, since the Safe Streets for All Plan is starting to really look at some of that, can that also be worked into that plan and looking at intersections and if something is put in there, how can the City make that intersection safer for people in their walking or biking or in wheelchairs, etc.? That was one of her biggest concerns is that that intersection is so tight there, but she thinks when looking at the Safe Streets for All, that can be worked into the Comprehensive Plan and see what intersections are going to work well in what parts of town, or

if the City has to make adjustments to any of those intersections. Engineer Folck replied that's something she can certainly visit with the engineering consultants about. That's something that has been discussed as the City is redoing traffic signals; there are ways to time them to be more efficient based on traffic counts.

Councilmember Morrison asked Engineer Folck if she got the traffic counts from that area (intersection of Five Rocks Road and Country Club Road). Engineer Folck replied that she did, but when those were done, that's when the bridge was out. The counts could be done again; it's a question on the timing if the City wants to take into account the school traffic. It will be the middle of August before those traffic counts are put out again. Without the school traffic, it won't be the best (accurate) picture of that intersection.

Mayor Ewing noted that he would be very cautious about making things more restrictive or more zoning and different changes. That just makes it more difficult to get things through with the conditional permit usage the City has in those particular zones, or any zoning. They do have an option to go back to the Council to approve and not just the Planning Commission; he asked for clarification. Engineer Folck replied that Conditional Use Permits go only to Planning Commission with meat packing plants as the only exception to that currently. Councilmember Gillen added that he remembers when all the CUPs used to come to Council and it was two or three months that the City was making a business jump through hoops to try to get something done (by the time notice was put out for the Planning Commission to meet and then the Council to meet, and advertise for the public hearing). The City created a really long and intense process for a business to come in. He understands there are certain areas of town, especially with a commercial node that is surrounded by residential in nature, where he would think that being a little bit more cautious would be okay as opposed to all CUPs... if it's within the business district, he's not as concerned, but within residential areas and creating a commercial node that's surrounded by residential, that does come with a little more impact to the people and properties with a business going in.

With no further discussion, Mayor Ewing added that Council knows how to get a hold of Engineer Folck, and to make sure to contact her and give her their information and the process will continue from there.

PUBLIC HEARINGS: None.

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

CLOSED SESSION: (Council reserves the right to enter into closed session if deemed necessary.) None.

OPEN COMMENT: Discussion or action by Council regarding unscheduled business will not take place. This section is for citizen comment only. None.

ADJOURN:

Motion by Councilmember Gillen to adjourn. Second by Councilmember O'Neal. There was no discussion. Mayor Ewing called for the vote. "AYES": Shields, Gillen, Frye, Kinsey, Wiedeman, O'Neal, Morrison, Jackson. "NAYS": None. Abstaining: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 6:34 p.m.

ATTEST:


Kathleen J. Welfl, City Clerk




Kent E. Ewing, Mayor